

## **REMARKS**

This application has been reviewed in light of the Advisory Action mailed January 25, 2006. Reconsideration of this application in view of the below remarks is respectfully requested. Claims 10-20 are pending in the application with Claims 10, 19 and 20 being in independent form. By the present amendment, Claims 10, 19 and 20 have been amended. No new subject matter is introduced into the disclosure by way of the present amendment.

As pointed out in the previous response, the present invention starts originating a call, other than a call used by the cellular telephone set to perform sub-communication with the accessory, when the channel quality of the sub-communication means has deteriorated to not more than a predetermined level. Therefore, the call other than a call used by the cellular telephone set to perform sub-communication with the accessory is a new and separate call originated for the express purpose of providing voice communications.

However, the Tokoro reference differs markedly from the claimed limitation. The passages in Tokoro cited by the Examiner (col. 4, lines 47-55 and col. 14, lines 44-49) clearly point out that the telephone-television link is temporarily suspended when the user actuates the telephone-television button. The user can then continue a telephone conversation based on audio signals. Thus, Tokoro provides for temporary suspension of the data transfer between the telephone and the television, while still maintaining the original call as an audio only conversation. This aspect of the prior art is clearly present based on the disclosure in Tokoro that the user can resume the telephone-television conversation by actuating the television-telephone button again once in range of a television. (See: col. 14, lines 49-51).

In an effort to emphasize the distinguishing features of the claimed invention, Claim 10 has been amended to recite: "...control means for, causing said cellular telephone transceiver

means to start originating a new call for voice communication with a remote cellular telephone set when the channel quality of said sub-communication means has deteriorated to not more than a predetermined level, said new call being other than a call used by the cellular telephone set to perform sub-communication with the accessory..." Similar limitations are reflected in amended Claims 19 and 20.


Therefore, for at least the reasons given above, Claims 10 – 20 are believed to be patentably distinct and allowable over the cited prior art references.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims presently pending in the application, namely, Claims 10 – 20 are believed to be in condition for allowance and patentably distinguishable over the art of record.

If the Examiner should have any questions concerning this communication or feels that an interview would be helpful, the Examiner is requested to call Applicant's undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark J. Cohen  
Registration No. 32,211

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C.  
400 Garden City Plaza - Ste. 300  
Garden City, New York 11530  
(516) 742-4343

MJC:DAT:jam